

Senior Citizen Act is a speedy remedy for parents and elderly



SUNIL TYAGI

The provisions of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizen Act, 2007 ("Act") provide an inexpensive and speedy remedy for the maintenance and welfare of the parents and the elderly and also contain provisions whereby the transfer of the property by any senior citizen may be declared void if the transfer was subject to the condition that the transferee shall provide basic amenities and basic physical needs to such senior citizen and such transferee refuses or fails to do so.

Recently, a matter came up for the consideration of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana ("HC") in the matter of Hamina Kang Vs. District Magistrate (U.T.) and Ors. where the HC dealt with the question of whether a senior citizen who is now a US citizen entitled to seek remedy under the provisions of the Act or not.

The brief facts of the present case were that the old and aged parents where the father was diabetic and 79 years old and the mother was a doctor and 75 years old were US citizens and had a house in Chandigarh.

Their son, daughter-in-law and three granddaughters moved to India after their son who worked in a multinational company got posted in India. The son and his family started resid-

ing in the rear portion of Chandigarh house that was owned by the father.

When the parents visited India, their daughter-in-law alleged that they were harassing and humiliating her and filed complaints against them.

Feeling aggrieved and harassed, the parents filed an application for the protection of their life and property. It was stated in the application that the parents were earlier working in the US and decided to shift to their Chandigarh house as they were not keeping too well and domestic help was very expensive in the USA.

They also stated that their daughter-in-law abused them in presence of the police and also locked some of the rooms of the ground floor blocking their access. Although the daughter-in-law denied all allegations made against her, the District Magistrate, Chandigarh directed the daughter-in-law to vacate the Chandigarh house within 10 days of the order.

It was against the said order of the District Magistrate, Chandigarh, that the daughter-in-law filed a writ petition at the HC. The primary argument raised by the counsel on behalf of the daughter-in-law was that jurisdiction under the Act can be invoked only by the "senior citizens" and the parents were US citizens so they are not citizens of India within the meaning prescribed under the Act. Therefore, the application made by them under the Act was not maintainable.

In this regard, the HC referred to the relevant provisions of the



• **The Act will help parents irrespective of nationality** MINT

Act and held that although a "senior citizen" under the Act is a person who is a citizen of India and is of the age of 65 or more but a "parent" under the same Act is a father or mother, whatever his nationality would be.

Therefore, a person who is a parent would be entitled to the benefits of the Act which are conferred on parents, irrespective of his or her age or nationality.

The HC further observed that as the rules framed under the Act have been passed in relation to both parents and senior citizens, therefore, these rules may be invoked by a parent even though he may not be a senior citizen as defined in the Act.

Thus, it was held by the HC that an application for protection and eviction from their property by parents who were US citizens is maintainable.

The author is Senior Partner, ZEUS Law, a corporate commercial law firm. If you have any queries, email us at htestates@hindustantimes.com